

## Introduction

The model is based on a grid structure of one-dimensional street segments and two-dimensional street intersections. This structure provides a realistic representation of a variety of network scenarios with obstacles and, at the same time, allows a simple enough analysis.

## Related Work




► Model and Analytical CTR: [Almiron et al. 2013]

$$r_c = \frac{\ln(g) + \ln(\mu - 1)}{\mu}, \epsilon \geq \epsilon_c$$

$$q = ((1 - 2\epsilon_c)^{2\mu} (1 - 2e^{\mu\epsilon_c}) + 1)^2$$

## Results

We proposed a new class UDGO (Unit Disk Graph Obstructed) the simulator COOJA extended through to study obstructed wireless networks. Mainly, the behaviour of the Critical Transmission Range (CTR).



There is a promise future work on speed up the visibility calculations. We investigated (and started to code) the shifting-strategy with dynamic-programming described at [Erlebach et al. 2005].

## Questions we made



- Analytical CTR will be greater than experimental?
- Physical aspects of MRM could increase the visibility?
- And the connectivity? What to expect when considering interference?
- How can we feedback the model using the physical UDGO insights?

## Answers we got

We discovered some insights with the introduced physical medium UDGO that could feedback the model. One thing that happens: the experimental CTR was higher than the analytical. Due the physical properties introduced with MRM that could make visibility increase.

## POC1

On the first part of this work, we implemented an abstract medium at COOJA named UDGO gathering two pre-existing models UDGM and MRM. [Osterlind et al. 2006] UDGM inserts the Unit Disk Graph behaviour, being more simple. MRM leads with the physical aspect of the transmission, being much more complex.




- Experimental: UDGO <https://github.com/mfer/udgo>
- Experimental: simulation in batch-mode
- Analytical: model and analytical CTR on obstructed networks

## POC2

On the second part, we performed simulations to study the Critical Transmission Range for Connectivity CTR. Comparing the analytical provided by [Almiron et al. 2013] with the experimental that will be obtained by the authors using the extended COOJA.

Number of Nodes:  $2 * g * (g - 1) * \mu$

|        |     |     |     |     |      |      |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
|        | 224 | 448 | 672 | 896 | 1120 | 1344 |
| 8      | 120 | 240 | 360 | 480 | 600  | 720  |
| 6      | 48  | 96  | 144 | 192 | 240  | 288  |
| 4      | 8   | 16  | 24  | 32  | 40   | 48   |
| 2      |     |     |     |     |      |      |
| g / mu | 2   | 4   | 6   | 8   | 10   | 12   |



- Experimental: client-server approach to distribute the calculations
- Experimental: to simulate and to analyze
- Analytical: PTASGIG <https://github.com/mfer/ptasgig>

## References

Almiron et al. 2013 Almiron, M. G., Goussevskaia, O., Loureiro, A. A., and Rolim, J. (2013). Connectivity in obstructed wireless networks: From geometry to percolation. In Proceedings of the ACM, MobiHoc, pages 157-166, New York, NY

Clark et al. 1990 Clark, B. N., Colbourn, C. J., and Johnson, D. S. (1990). Unit disk graphs. Discrete Mathematics, 86(1-3):165-177.